

Abstract

BACKGROUND

 The source of protein in a person's diet affects their total life expectancy. However, the mechanisms by which dietary protein sources differentially impact human health and life expectancy are poorly understood. Dietary choices have major impacts on the composition and function of the intestinal microbiota that ultimately modulate host health. This raises the possibility that health outcomes based on dietary protein sources might be driven by interactions between dietary protein and the gut microbiota. In this study, we determined the effects of seven different sources of dietary protein on the gut microbiota of mice. We applied an integrated metagenomics-metaproteomics approach to simultaneously investigate the effects of these dietary protein sources on the gut microbiota's composition and function.

RESULTS

 Different dietary protein sources significantly altered the species composition of the gut microbiota. Yeast and egg-white protein had the greatest effect on the composition of the gut microbiota driven by an increase in the abundance of *Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron*. The abundance of enzymes associated with different broad functional categories also significantly changed due to dietary protein sources. In particular, the abundance of amino acid degrading enzymes increased in the presence of brown rice and egg white protein, while glycoside hydrolases increased in the presence of yeast and egg white protein. The glycoside hydrolases increased in the yeast and egg white protein diets were mostly *B. thetaiotaomicron* enzymes previously associated with the degradation of yeast cell-wall glycoproteins in the case of the yeast diet, and the degradation of mucins in the case of the egg white diet. We validated that *B. thetaiotaomicron* expresses these glycoside hydrolases when grown on mucin, yeast, and egg white protein *in vitro*.

CONCLUSION

 These results show that the source of dietary protein can alter the composition and function of the gut microbiota through the specific glycosylations present on dietary glycoproteins. Both amino acid degradation and mucin metabolism by the microbiota have been previously linked to playing a role in modulating gut health. Our study is important because it shows that dietary protein sources should be considered, in addition to fiber and fat, when designing diets for a healthy gut microbiome.

 Keywords: Gut Microbiome, Metaproteomics, Metagenomics, Dietary Intervention, Mus musculus, Mice

BACKGROUND

 Source of dietary protein has a major impact on human health. People who consume high amounts of animal protein have higher mortality rates than those who consume mostly plant-based protein [1,2]. Egg protein and red meat protein in particular have been shown to lead to increased mortality rates among humans [3] and a diet high in red meat protein has been shown to increase inflammation in a model of colitis [4]. Replacing animal protein sources with plant protein sources reduces mortality rates [3]. Currently, we have a limited understanding of the underlying causes, but the gut microbiota has been implicated as potentially having a major role in the differential health impacts of different dietary protein sources [5,6]. Diet has been shown to change the gut microbiota's composition and function in 83 ways that can be detrimental or beneficial to health [7–10]. For example, protein fermentation by the gut microbiota generates a number of toxins including ammonia, putrescine, and hydrogen sulfide [6,11], while fermentation of fiber and certain amino acids produces anti-inflammatory short-chain fatty acids [12]. Previous studies demonstrate that the amount of protein can have a greater impact on the gut microbiota's composition than other macronutrients [13], and that source of dietary protein impacts the composition of the microbiota [14]. There is, however,

 limited data showing the mechanisms by which individual sources of dietary protein affect the gut microbiota's composition and function, which could mediate the consumption and production of compounds beneficial or detrimental to the host.

 Metaproteomics represents a powerful tool for characterizing the mechanisms underlying dietary effects on the gut microbiota [7,9]. Metaproteomics is defined as the large- scale characterization of the proteins present in a microbiome [15]. Protein abundances measured by metaproteomics simultaneously provide microbial species abundances [16], and evidence for the metabolic and physiological phenotype of microbiota members [17,18]. Metaproteomes are usually measured using a shotgun proteomics approach where proteins extracted from a sample are digested into peptides, separated by liquid chromatography, and measured on a mass spectrometer [20]. Proteins are then identified and quantified using a database search algorithm, which matches the measured peptides to a database of protein sequences [21]. Due to the heterogeneous nature of complex microbial communities it is usually best to construct the protein database using gene predictions from metagenomes measured from the same samples [19]. When metaproteomics is coupled to a genome-resolved metagenomic database it is possible to evaluate strain and species level function even if the microbes have not been previously characterized [20,21]. We call this approach integrated metagenomics-metaproteomics.

 We used an integrated metagenomic-metaproteomic approach to investigate the effects of dietary protein source on gut microbiota's composition and function. We hypothesized that dietary protein source affects the abundance of amino acid metabolizing enzymes from the gut microbiota, altering the abundance of pathways involved in the production of toxins detrimental to host health. We found that the source of dietary protein not only alters the abundance of amino acid degrading enzymes, but has an even greater impact on the abundance of glycan degrading proteins among other functions, indicating that dietary protein sources can have wide 114 ranging effects on the gut microbiota.

RESULTS

Integrated Metagenomic-Metaproteomic Analysis of Dietary Protein Effects on the Gut Microbiota

 To determine how different sources of dietary protein affect the gut microbiota, we fed mice (C57BL/6J), half female (group 1) and half male (group 2) a series of 9 fully defined diets (Fig. 1a, Supplementary table 1). Each diet contained purified protein from a different single source of dietary protein whose mass represented either twenty or forty percent of the entire diet. In order of feeding, the diets were 20% soy protein, 20% casein protein, 20% brown rice protein, 40% soy protein, 20% yeast protein, 40% casein protein, 20% pea protein, 20% egg white protein, and 20% chicken bone protein. Mice consumed each diet for one week before switching to the next diet. We collected fecal samples from mice after 7 days of consumption of each diet. The chicken bone diet caused the mice to lose weight, so we discontinued the diet after 3 days and the mice consumed a standard chow diet for the rest of that week. No fecal 128 samples were collected for the chicken bone diet. To control for the succession effects of a serial dietary intervention, we fed the mice the 20% soy diet or the 20% casein diet as a control at the end of the diet series. We analyzed samples from all mice and diets using an integrated metagenomic-metaproteomic approach [7,21] (Fig. 1b). We sequenced fecal samples using shotgun sequencing and used a genome-resolved metagenomics pipeline [22,23], which resulted in 454 metagenome-assembled genomes (MAGs) organized into 180 species groups. We used high-resolution mass spectrometry based metaproteomics to identify and quantify 135 proteins in each sample using a protein sequence database derived from the metagenome and augmented with mouse and diet protein sequences [19,24]. In total, we identified 35,588 proteins, each distinguished as microbial, host, or dietary proteins (Extended Data Table 1). All taxonomic and functional data described in this study were quantified using the metaproteomic data [16].

 Figure 1: Source of dietary protein alters the gut microbiota's composition. (a) Diagram showing the experimental design, with number of cages, and order of the diets fed. Colors depicting the diets are used throughout the manuscript. Each row of arrows represents one cage. We collected 10-12 samples for each experimental diet and 145 5-6 samples for each control diet. (b) A diagram illustrating the integrated metagenomic-metaproteomics method
146 used to analyze the samples along with raw metrics: quantifiable species and number of proteins. (c) Ra used to analyze the samples along with raw metrics: quantifiable species and number of proteins. (c) Ratio of spectra 147 assigned to microbes versus the host; boxes represent 95% confidence intervals calculated on a linear mixed effect
148 model (Extended Data Table 2), (d) Shannon diversity index of the gut microbiota across all diets: model (Extended Data Table 2). (d) Shannon diversity index of the gut microbiota across all diets; boxes represent 95% confidence intervals calculated on a linear mixed effect model (Extended Data Table 2). (e) Bray-Curtis dissimilarity between the initial 20% soy diet (teal) or 20% casein diet (red) and all other diets. Error bars reflect 95% confidence intervals for all line graphs as calculated by the Rmisc package in R. (f) Abundances of the two most abundant bacterial classes based on summed protein abundance. Error bars are 95% confidence intervals calculated using a linear-mixed effects model (Extended Data Table 2). (g) A hierarchically clustered (ward.D2 algorithm on euclidean distances) heatmap depicting the clustering by species group abundance of the 36 most abundant species in the study. Species were considered abundant if they had at least 5% of the microbial biomass in at least one sample.

Source of dietary protein alters gut microbiota composition

 To assess the effect of dietary protein source on microbiota composition, we quantified the proteinaceous biomass for each species using metaproteomics data and obtained measurements for 161 distinct species (Extended Data Table 3) [16]. We divided the number of spectra assigned to microbial proteins by the number of spectra assigned to host proteins to create a measure of microbial load (Extended Data Table 4). We found that the yeast protein diet significantly increased microbial load as compared to all other defined protein diets (Fig. 1c). We calculated within sample diversity (alpha diversity) and between sample compositional dissimilarity (beta diversity) based on the abundances of the quantifiable species. We found that the yeast and egg white diets significantly reduced the alpha diversity (Shannon diversity index) and richness (number of species) of the gut microbiota relative to all other diets (Fig. 1d; Supplementary Fig. 1a; Extended Data Table 4). We evaluated the effects of dietary protein on 170 gut microbiota composition by comparing the microbiota from all diets to the initial 20% soy and 171 20% casein diets using the Bray-Curtis dissimilarity index. We found that the composition of the gut microbiota was most similar when the source of dietary protein was the same, regardless of the amount of protein in the diet, and that the yeast and egg white diets yielded the most dissimilar microbiota compositions (Fig. 1e). Testing with PERMANOVA (q < 0.05) showed that the community composition was significantly different when the source of dietary protein was different (43 out of 49 comparisons), but not when it was the same (Extended Data Table 5). These results show that the source of dietary protein had a greater effect on the gut microbiota than the amount of protein in the diet across three dimensions of the gut microbiota: microbial load, within sample diversity of species, and compositional dissimilarity between samples. The large differences in microbial composition in the egg white and yeast protein diets were driven by a decrease in the abundances of species from the class Clostridia in favor of species from the class Bacteroidia (Fig. 1f). Since we observed fewer species in the class Bacteroidia overall,

 it makes sense that a drop in Clostridia in favor of Bacteroidia would result in a lower alpha diversity in the yeast and egg white diets (Fig. 1g; Extended Data Table 3).

 To identify which specific microbial taxa drive differences in microbiota composition between dietary protein sources, we focused on the most abundant microbial species (>5% of 187 the microbial protein biomass in at least one sample) and hierarchically clustered them by abundance across the different dietary protein sources/groups (Fig. 1g). This revealed three major clusters separating most samples by mouse group with the exception of the yeast and egg white diets which together formed a separate cluster that internally showed separation by mouse group. The T0 samples fell into the major mouse group clusters which indicates that the two mouse groups had distinct gut microbiotas at the start of the experiment. Within the mouse group clusters the microbiota clustered by source of dietary protein, which was also observed in principal component analysis (Supplementary Fig. 1, Supplementary Results Section A). In the yeast diet, *Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron* (*B. theta*) dominates the microbiota regardless of mouse group. *B. theta* abundance also increased in response to the egg white diet. However, there were additional species specific to each mouse group that also increased in abundance in response to the egg white diet (Fig. 1g; Supplementary Fig. 2). In group 1, these species were *Akkermansia muciniphila* and *Atopobiaceae* bacterium AB25-9, while in group 2 these species were *Paramuribaculum* sp*.* and *Dubosiella newyorkensis.* Interestingly, both *A. muciniphila* [25] and *Paramuribaculum* sp. [26] have been reported to forage on intestinal mucin and *B. theta* has been shown to switch towards mucin foraging based on diet [27]. These results show that the source of dietary protein changes the gut microbiota's composition and suggests that an egg white diet could promote mucin-foraging bacteria.

Source of dietary protein alters gut microbiota function

 To evaluate gut microbiota function, we used the normalized abundances of gut microbiota proteins as a measure of the investment of the microbiota into metabolic and

 physiological functions [17,18,28]. We first used automated annotation tools to assign functions 210 to proteins. Since the annotations from these tools were not always accurate, we manually curated the annotations of 3,959 proteins and then extrapolated the functions to 14,547 similarly annotated proteins, which in total represented between 74 and 86 percent of the total microbial protein abundance in each sample (Extended Data Table 6). Based on the annotations, we assigned broad functional categories, such as amino acid metabolism, gene expression, glycan degradation, and monosaccharide metabolism and more detailed functional categories, such as ribosomal proteins and glycolysis to each of these proteins (Extended Data Table 6; Supplementary Fig. 3; Supplementary Results Section B). We then used the relative protein abundances to determine the investment of the microbiota into each of these functions. All of the broad functional categories, except for secondary metabolism, had significant changes in abundance due to dietary protein (ANOVA, p-value < 0.05; Extended Data Table 7; Fig. 2; Supplementary Fig. 4), which indicates that the source of dietary protein changes the gut microbiota's metabolism and physiology. Hierarchical clustering of all samples by abundances of broad functional categories revealed that the yeast and egg white diets clustered separately from all the other diets (Supplementary Fig. 5), similar to the results from the taxonomic clustering (Fig. 1g); however, a similar analysis at the detailed functional level revealed separate yeast, rice, and egg white clusters, with some outliers (Supplementary Fig. 6).

 The two abundant broad functional categories (>1% of the total protein biomass) that had the greatest effect size due to diet were amino acid metabolism and glycan degradation, with F-statistics of 29 and 93 respectively (Fig. 2; Extended Data Table 7). Amino acid metabolism increased in the brown rice and egg white diets relative to all other diets except the 40% casein diet and glycan degradation significantly increased in yeast and egg white diets relative to all other diets (Fig 2). Significant changes in the abundance of amino acid metabolism supported our initial expectation that the response of the microbiota to different dietary protein sources would likely relate to amino acid metabolism; however, we were surprised to find that

 the abundance of glycan degrading enzymes responded more strongly to the source of dietary protein than did enzymes for amino acid metabolism. This suggests that glycan degradation instead of amino acid metabolism may be the major driver of taxonomic and functional changes in the gut microbiota in response to dietary protein source. These two functions will be discussed in detail in subsequent sections. In addition, we observed specific changes in the abundance of enzymes associated with the gene expression, monosaccharide metabolism, fermentation, and stress and cell protection functional categories (Supplementary Results Section B; Supplementary Fig 3).

Broad functional categories that represent greater than 1% of the total protein abundance

244
245

 source of dietary protein. Abundance of broad functional categories that represent at least 1% of the microbial 247 protein abundance in at least one diet. The abundance is a modeled mean calculated from mixed effects models and 248 the error bars represent 95% confidence intervals calculated from these models. All the categories shown here had a 249 p-value for the diet factor below 0.05; p-value < $2.2x10^{-16}$ is the lower limit of the method. For underlying data see Extended Data Tables 7 and 8. For higher resolution of functional categories, e.g. fermentation, see Supplementary Figs. 3-4.

-
-

Source of dietary protein alters the abundance of amino acid degrading enzymes

 To explore the effects of dietary protein source on microbiota amino acid metabolism, we manually classified 911 proteins (Extended Data Table 9) representing 68 enzyme functions (Extended Data Table 10) according to their involvement in the degradation (Fig. 3a), synthesis (Fig. 3b), interconversion (Fig. 3c), or reversible (Fig. 3d) reactions of specific amino acid pathways (Supplementary Figs. 7-18). In all diets except the yeast and standard chow diets, we observed that the microbiota was trending towards amino acid degradation instead of synthesis. We found that amino acid degrading enzymes were on average 2- to 6-fold more abundant than amino acid biosynthesis enzymes (Fig. 3a and 3b). Amino acid degrading enzymes were significantly more abundant in the rice and egg diets as compared to all the other diets (Fig. 3a), which is consistent with the observation that dietary proteins were significantly more abundant in the fecal samples of the brown rice and egg diets as compared to all other diets (Fig. 3e), suggesting that there may be a connection between the digestibility of dietary protein and amino acid degradation by the gut microbiota. Though amino acid synthesis enzymes were generally less abundant, we did observe a trend towards an increase in amino acid synthesis enzymes in the yeast protein diet relative to the other diets. This trend was not significant (Fig. 3b), but we observed several individual synthesis enzymes to be significantly increased in the yeast protein diet relative to other diets. These enzymes were involved in the synthesis of branched-chain amino acids (Suppl. Fig. 8), cysteine (Suppl. Fig. 9), lysine (Suppl. Fig. 15), proline (Suppl. Fig. 17), or tyrosine (Suppl. Fig 18).

Figure 3: Amino acid degradation increases in the rice and egg diets. Box plots depict the percent abundance (out of the total microbial protein abundance) of different categories of microbial amino acid metabolism proteins. The exception is the dietary proteins, which are based on the percent protein abundance of the total metaproteome. The boxes represent the 95% confidence interval of the mean (line) for each diet from a complete mixed-effects model, 280 and the q-values represent the FDR controlled p-values for the diet factor from an ANOVA on these models (q<0.05
281 indicates significance)(Extended Data Tables 11-12). Boxes that do not overlap indicate statistical indicates significance)(Extended Data Tables 11-12). Boxes that do not overlap indicate statistical significance. Circle dots represent actual values per sample and are colored by mouse group. Abundance of proteins classified as (a) degrading an amino acid, (b) synthesizing an amino acid, (c) converting between two amino acids, and (d) reversible. (e) Abundance of all dietary proteins detected in each condition. (f) Abundance of enzymes that are likely to produce ammonia. Enzymes classified as degrading or reversible were included as long as ammonia was one of the potential products. Summed abundance of all proteins classified as (g) urease, (h) cysteine desulfurase, (i) tryptophanase, (j) glutamate decarboxylase, (k) involved in branched-chain amino acid (BCAA) degradation to branched-chain fatty acid (BCFA) (includes branched-chain amino acid aminotransferase or ketoisovalerate oxidoreductase), and (l) involved in proline degradation (includes proline racemase or D-proline reductase).

 Not all amino acid degrading enzymes increased in both the brown rice and egg white diets; sometimes they increased in one or the other (Supplementary Figs. 7-18). For example, enzymes associated with the degradation of threonine were more abundant in the egg white diet (Supplementary Fig. 12), while enzymes associated with tryptophan degradation were increased in the brown rice diet (Supplementary Fig. 18). Brown rice and egg were not the only diets in which the abundances of specific amino acid degrading enzymes increased. Alanine dehydrogenase increased in the 40% soy diet relative to the pea, yeast, 20% soy, and 20% casein diets (Supplementary Fig. 9) and cysteine desulfurase increased in the 40% casein and casein control diets relative to most other diets (Supplementary Fig. 9).

 Changes in amino acid degradation by the gut microbiota have potential implications for host health by directly affecting local tissues or through interactions along the gut-brain axis depending on the metabolites produced by specific pathways [6,29]. We identified six categories of amino acid degradation pathways that are relevant to host health because they produce compounds that are toxic, anti-inflammatory, neurotransmitters, or otherwise related to disease. The toxic compounds included ammonia, produced by deaminating enzymes (Fig. 3f) and urease (Fig. 3g) [30,31] and hydrogen sulfide, produced by cysteine desulfurase (Fig. 3h) [32]. The neurotransmitters included indoles produced by tryptophanase (Fig. 3i) [33] and γ- aminobutyric acid (GABA) produced by glutamate decarboxylase (Fig. 3j) [34]. The anti- inflammatory metabolites were branched-chain fatty acids produced by enzymes that degrade branched-chain amino acids (Fig. 3k) [35,36]. Finally, we included the enzymes in the proline degradation pathway (Fig. 3l), as an example of a specific amino acid degrading pathway affected by dietary protein source and relevant to the gut-brain axis [37] and enteric infections [38]. We found ammonia producing enzymes to be significantly more abundant in the brown rice diet as compared to all other diets, and also more abundant in the egg white and 40% casein diets as compared to the standard chow, 20% soy, yeast, pea, and control diets (Fig. 3f and 3g). We observed cysteine desulfurases to be significantly increased in the 40% casein and casein

 control diets relative to other diets (Fig. 3h). Tryptophanase significantly increased in the brown rice diet relative to all other diets, while glutamate decarboxylase increased in the egg white diet relative to all other diets except brown rice, pea, and the control diets (Fig. 3i and 3j). We observed that branched-chain amino acid degrading enzymes were significantly increased in the egg white protein diet relative to all other diets (Fig. 3k), and proline degrading enzymes were increased in the brown rice diet relative to other diets, except the 40% soy and 40% casein diets where we also observed proline degradation to be significantly increased relative to the standard chow, yeast, and pea diets (Fig. 3l). These results show that the source of dietary protein can alter overall amino acid metabolism in the gut microbiome, as well as the abundance of different pathways. These changes have the potential to affect host physiology and health.

 Gut microbes express distinct glycoside hydrolases to grow on different sources of dietary protein

 Surprisingly, glycan degrading enzymes (glycoside hydrolases) showed the largest overall changes in response to dietary protein source (Fig. 2, Extended Data Table 7). Specifically, these enzymes increased significantly in abundance in the yeast and egg white diets compared to the other diets. To further investigate the interaction of these glycan degrading enzymes with dietary protein we manually curated the functional assignments and potential substrate specificity of the 1,059 microbial glycoside hydrolases detected in our metaproteomes (Extended Data Table 13).

 We grouped the validated glycoside hydrolases into 91 families based on the CAZy database (Extended Data Table 14) [39]. Of these families, 54 significantly changed in abundance between the different dietary protein sources (ANOVA, q<0.05) (Extended Data Table 15). Different glycoside hydrolase families increased in abundance in the soy, casein, brown rice, yeast, and egg white diets suggesting that distinct glycans drive their abundance

 changes across the different diets (Fig. 4a, Extended Data Table 14-16, Supplementary Results Section C). The most abundant glycoside hydrolase families, GH18 in the case of egg white and GH92 in the case of yeast, have previously been associated with the degradation of glycans conjugated onto proteins (glycosylations) as part of polysaccharide utilization loci (PULs). PULs are operons that contain all the proteins necessary to import and degrade a specific glycan structure [40]. These GH18s are endo-β-N-acetylglucosaminidases that break the bond between two acetylglucosamine residues attached to asparagine in N-linked glycoproteins. This reaction releases the glycan from the glycoprotein [41]. Meanwhile, GH92s, which are alpha- mannosidases, have been previously associated with the release of mannose residues from the glycosylations on yeast mannoproteins [41].

 We found that the total abundance of glycoside hydrolases increased from <1% in the majority of diets to >2.5% in the yeast and egg diets (Fig. 4b). Additionally, we observed a general trend towards an increased abundance of glycoside hydrolases in all defined diets compared to the T0 (standard chow) diet; however, the increase was only significant for the soy, yeast and egg diets (Fig. 4b). The majority of the glycoside hydrolases in the yeast and egg diets came from *B. theta* (Fig. 4b). Since *B. theta* is one of the primary drivers of the changes in microbiota composition in these diets (Fig. 1g), this suggests that glycoside hydrolases are closely associated with the observed changes in microbiota composition.

a. Mean abundance per diet of significantly different glycoside hydrolases in vivo ぷぷ %NSAF %NSAF $\frac{1.14}{0.009}$ $\frac{10.107 \text{ m}}{0.007}$ тr 10
20% Soy Casein $20%$ 20% Rice 40% Soy 20% Yeas 40% Casein 20% Pea 20% Egg Soy Control Casein Control

 Figure 4: Glycosylations on dietary proteins drive shifts in microbial composition. (a) Mean summed protein abundance per diet of glycoside hydrolases with significantly different abundances between diets (q<0.05 in mixed- effects ANOVA models). (b) Mean combined protein abundance of proteins confirmed to be glycoside hydrolases. The proportion of these proteins that belong to *B. theta* is highlighted. Diets that do not have overlapping letters also have non-overlapping 95% confidence intervals for each diet calculated from a complete mixed-effects model. (c) Volcano plot of -log10 p-values (Welch's t-test; FDR controlled at q<0.05) versus the log² fold-change of *B. theta* proteins under the yeast and egg white protein diets *in vivo* after recalculating the protein abundance based on proteins only assigned to *B. theta*. Filled circle symbols, indicating individual proteins, were colored based on the polysaccharide utilizing locus (PUL) operon to which the protein belongs. We only colored the proteins from PULs that had an absolute difference of 0.5% or greater between the yeast and egg diets. (d) Colony forming units per mL (CFU/mL) of *B. theta* grown in defined media with dietary proteins as the sole carbon source. The dotted line indicates T0 CFU/mL. Media that do not share letters are significantly different based on ANOVA and Tukey HSD multiple comparisons after log transformation (p-value < 0.05). (e) Hierarchical clustering (ward.D2 on Euclidean distances) of the *in vitro B. theta* proteome under different media. (f) *In vivo* and *in vitro* comparison of the summed protein abundance of PULs. The bottom axis depicts the log₂ fold-change between egg white and yeast protein or mucin and yeast protein. The top axis depicts the mean protein abundance of the PULs *in vivo* in the yeast diet on the left and in the egg diet on the right. A Welch's t-test (with FDR control) was performed between each comparison 380 to detect significant changes in PUL protein abundances (*** = q < 0.01, ** = q < 0.05, * = q < 0.1) (Extended Data Tables 18 and 20).

 To examine the specific role of *B. theta* in glycan degradation in the yeast and egg white diets, we compared the abundances of all *B. theta* proteins in the metaproteome between the two diets. Out of 1,420 detected *B. theta* proteins, the abundances of 592 proteins significantly differed between the two diets (q < 0.05, Welch's t-test) (Fig. 4c; Extended Data Table 17). Many of the significant proteins that were the most abundant and had the greatest fold-change between the two diets came from PULs (Fig. 4c; Supplementary Fig. 19). Between 10% and 25% of the total protein abundance of *B. theta* in the yeast and egg white diets came from these PULs (Extended Data Table 18). The proteins belonging to each PUL tended to be expressed together either being significantly increased in egg white or the yeast diet (Figure 4c). Several of the PULs that increased when we fed mice the yeast diet have previously been shown to specifically degrade the glycosylations on yeast cell wall proteins. PULs 68/92 (BT3773-3792) and 69 (BT3854-3862) (Fig. 4c; Supplementary Fig. 19) degrade ⲁ -mannans attached to yeast mannoproteins in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* [41], while PUL 56 (BT3310- 3314), degrades yeast β-glucans also attached to yeast cell wall mannoproteins [42]. Conversely, the majority of the PULs increased when we fed mice the egg white diet had been previously linked to growth on mucin glycan conjugates: PUL14 (BT1032-1051), PUL6 (BT3017- 0318), PUL16 (1280-1285), PUL 80 (BT4295-BT4299), and PUL12 (BT0865-0867) (Supplementary Fig. 19) [43]. An additional abundant PUL, PUL72 (BT3983-BT3994), has been previously implicated in the degradation of mannoproteins of mammalian origin [41] and our result suggests that PUL72 is also involved in the degradation of mannoproteins from non- mammalian vertebrates. To test if *B. theta* could grow on yeast and egg white protein as predicted from the *in vivo* data, and if the expression of PULs was driven by direct responses to the dietary protein sources, we characterized *B. theta* growth and its proteome on dietary protein sources *in vitro*.

We used a defined culture media and supplemented purified dietary protein sources as the sole

carbon source to determine if this supported *B. theta growth*. We found that *B. theta* grew in the

 presence of glucose (control), yeast protein, egg white protein, soy protein, and intestinal mucin (Fig. 4d, Tukey HSD adj P < 0.05). We analyzed the proteomes of *B. theta* in these five different conditions *in vitro* to determine if PULs played a role in growth (Extended Data Table 19). An overall comparison of the proteome between the media supplemented with 4 different protein sources revealed that egg white protein and mucin had the most similar proteome, and the proteome from the glucose control clustered separately from the protein-sources (Fig. 4e; Supplementary Fig. 20). We observed that 15 out of 24 PULs that were significantly different in abundance between the egg white and yeast diets *in vivo* were also significantly different in the same direction *in vitro* (Fig. 4f). In addition, 12 of these 15 PULs showed the same expression pattern in both the mucin and egg white protein media as compared to the yeast protein medium (Fig. 4f, Extended Data Tables 18 and 20). The relationship between mucin and egg white metabolism in microbiota species *in vivo* is further supported by the fact that five of the six species with greater than 5% abundance in an egg white sample (*B. theta*, *A. muciniphila*, *Atopobiaceae* bacterium AB25_9 *Paramuribaculum sp.*, *D. newyorkensis*) had abundant enzymes associated with the metabolism of sugars usually thought to be derived from mucin. These enzymes, of which several were among the top 100 most abundant proteins of these organisms, catalyze the metabolism of sialic acid (N-acetylneuraminate lyase, N- acylglucosamine 2-epimerase), N-acetylglucosamine (N-acetylglucosamine-6-phosphate deacetylase, glucosamine-6-phosphate deaminase, PTS system N-acetylglucosamine-specific, or fucose (fucosidase, fucose isomerase) (Extended Data Table 6). In summary, these results 428 indicate that the glycosylations on yeast and egg white proteins drive the increase in abundance of *B. theta* in the yeast and egg white diets, and that egg white proteins and intestinal mucin share similar glycosylations leading to the expression of similar PULs for their degradation.

DISCUSSION

 In this study, we sought to characterize how dietary protein source affects the gut microbiota's composition and function by measuring species-resolved proteins using integrated metagenomics-metaproteomics. We showed that source of dietary protein significantly alters the gut microbiota's composition, more so than amount of protein, and that yeast and egg white protein had the greatest effect on the composition driven by an increase in the relative abundance of *B. theta* and a decrease of bacteria from the class Clostridia. We also showed 439 that the source of dietary protein altered the overall functional profile of the gut microbiota as reflected by changes in the abundance of microbial proteins assigned to broad functional categories. In particular, proteins involved in amino acid metabolism increased in abundance in the brown rice and egg white diets, while enzymes assigned to glycan degradation increased in 443 the yeast and egg white diets.

 The increase in amino acid metabolizing enzymes in the brown rice and egg white diets was driven by amino acid degrading enzymes. Previous studies across multiple species have 446 shown that increasing the amount of protein fed to animals leads to an increase in the ammonia concentration in stool [44–46], which suggests that increased protein availability leads to increased amino acid deamination or urease activity in the gut. Here we show that, regardless of the amount of protein, the source of protein itself can lead to increases in amino acid deaminating enzymes and ureases from the intestinal microbiota. Gut microbiota urease activity and amino acid deamination have been linked to serious diseases like hepatic encephalopathy when liver function is disrupted [47]. Replacement of bacteria that produce these deaminating enzymes and ureases with bacteria that do not has been suggested as a potential treatment [48], our results suggest that adjustments in dietary protein source could be considered as well. Since amino acids are the backbone of protein, we expected to observe changes in the abundance of amino acid degrading enzymes between the different sources of dietary protein; however, surprisingly the effect of dietary protein source on the abundance of glycan degrading proteins was even greater than the effect on amino acid degrading enzymes. Our results

 suggest that the increase in glycan degrading proteins in the yeast and egg white diets is due to the glycosylations conjugated to these proteins. Yeast and egg white proteins have distinct glycan conjugate structures [49–52]. In the presence of yeast dietary protein we were able to show, *in vivo* and *in vitro,* increased expression of PULs associated with the degradation of yeast mannoprotein glycan conjugates. In the presence of egg white protein, we observed an increase in PULs previously linked to the degradation of the glycan conjugates of mucin. This combined with increases in mucin foraging bacteria *Akkermansia muciniphila* and *Paramuribaculum* sp. suggests that egg white protein promotes the abundance of mucin foraging bacteria and their proteins. The link between the foraging of mucin and egg white protein in retrospect makes sense, as egg white protein contains mucins called ovomucin and other proteins: ovalbumin, ovotransferrin, and ovomucoid, which have been previously shown to be N-glycosylated with acetylglucosamine and mannose containing glycans [49,52]. Previous studies in mice have shown that diets, which promote bacteria and their enzymes that degrade mucins, can make the host more susceptible to enteric inflammation and infection [36,53]. Since egg white protein also promotes these functions, these results suggest that diets high in egg protein may be detrimental to gastrointestinal health, which could explain the prior results from population level studies that eggs lead to increased mortality rates among humans [3].

 Our study has at least two limitations preventing direct translation of microbiota responses to dietary protein sources into a human health context. First, we used purified dietary proteins, which differ from commonly consumed dietary proteins in that regular dietary protein sources also provide some amount of additional major dietary components such as fats, carbohydrates, and fiber. For example, plant proteins usually come with a relevant amount of fiber, while animal proteins are often low in fiber and have higher content fats [8]. Second, we used fully defined diets and while this allowed us to track effects to specific protein sources, we do anticipate that the dietary context of protein sources such as co-consumption of multiple protein, fiber, fat and carbohydrate sources will strongly influence the interactions of dietary

 protein sources with the microbiota. The power of our study lies in our ability to confirm that the source of dietary protein does impact gut microbiota function and should be considered when thinking about how diet impacts the microbiota and its implications for host health. Future studies that determine how the effect of dietary protein source on the gut microbiota impacts gastrointestinal diseases are needed.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals and Housing

 In this study we included twelve C57BL/6J mice in two groups (six males, six females, Jackson Labs, Bar Harbor) aged 3-6 months. The males and females originated from different mouse rooms at the Jackson Labs and thus were expected to have different background microbiomes. Mice from both groups were housed in two separate cages (3 mice/cage) with a 498 12 h light/dark cycle. We autoclaved bedding, performed all cage changes in a laminar flow hood and maintained an average temperature of 70°F and 35% humidity. We conducted our animal experiments in the Laboratory Animal Facilities at the NCSU CVM campus (Association for the Assessment and Accreditation of Laboratory Animal Care accredited), which are managed by the NCSU Laboratory Animal Resources. Animals assessed as moribund were 503 humanely euthanized via $CO₂$ asphyxiation, as approved by NC State's Institutional Animal 504 Care and Use Committee (Protocol # 18-034-B).

Animal Diets and Sample Collection

 We fed mice defined diets with a single source of purified protein (Supplementary Table 1). We fed each defined diet to all mice for 7 days, with the exception of the chicken bone broth diet. We observed clinical signs of disease including weight loss in the mice after 3 days of the

 chicken bone broth diet and therefore replaced the diet with standard chow for the remainder of the 7 days. We fed the diets in this order: standard chow, 20% soy protein, 20% casein, 20% brown rice protein, 40% soy protein, 20% yeast protein, 40% casein, 20% pea protein, 20% egg white protein, 20% chicken bone broth protein, and lastly at the end of the experiment half of the mice in each group were fed the 20% soy protein and half the mice the 20% casein diet again as a control. Prior to the start of the defined diet, mice were fed autoclaved standard chow. All 516 defined diets were sterilized by y-irradiation and mice were provided sterile water (Gibco). On day 7 of each defined diet, we collected fecal samples, prior to replacing food with the next diet. We collected samples in NAP preservation solution at a 1:10 sample weight to solution ratio, 519 and roughly homogenized the sample with a disposable pestle prior to freezing at -80°C [54]. We had to sacrifice one mouse during the second diet (20% casein) so no additional samples were collected. We also were unable to collect a sample from one of the mice during the brown rice and egg white diets so only 10 samples were collected for those diets.

Metagenomic DNA sequencing

 To create a database for metaproteomic analysis, we pooled fecal samples from each cage to create four cage specific metagenomes. We gathered one fecal sample from each cage for four different diets (20% rice, 40% soy, 20% yeast, 40% casein) for a total of 16 samples. To extract DNA, we followed the QIAamp DNA stool mini kit (Qiagen)-based protocol described by Knudsen *et al*. with modifications [55]. To remove the preservation solution from the samples, we added 5 mL of 1X Phosphate Buffered Saline solution (VWR) to the samples and centrifuged them (17,000 x g, 5 min) to pellet solids and bacterial cells in suspension. We removed the preservation solution and resuspended the fecal pellets in 1 mL of InhibitEX Buffer in Matrix E (MP Biomedicals) bead beating tubes. We beat the samples at 3.1 m/s for 3 cycles with 1 minute of ice cooling between each cycle using a Bead Ruptor Elite 24 (Omni International). We isolated DNA from the resulting lysate using the Qiagen QIAamp Fast DNA

 stool mini kit (cat. No. 51604). Samples were extracted individually and pooled by cage with each sample contributing a total of 200 ng of DNA.

 We submitted genomic DNA (gDNA) to the North Carolina State Genomic Sciences Laboratory (Raleigh, NC, USA) for Illumina NGS library construction and sequencing. We used an Illumina TruSeq Nano Library kit with its provided protocol for library construction and performed sequencing on an Illumina NovaSeq 6000 sequencer. We obtained between 51,152,549 and 74,618,259 paired-end reads for each of the 4 samples.

Metagenomic assembly and protein database construction

 To create a species specific database for metaproteomics, we assembled raw reads using a genome resolved metagenomics approach. We removed PhiX174 (NCBI GenBank accession CP004084.1) and mouse genome (mm10) contaminating sequences using BBSplit and removed adapters using BBDuk (BBMap, Version 38.06), parameters: mink = 6, minlength =20[56]. We assembled decontaminated reads individually using MetaSPAdes (v3.12.0) -k 33,55,99[57] and co-assembled them using MEGAHIT (v1.2.4) –kmin 31 –k-step 10 [58]. We mapped reads from all four samples to all five assemblies using bbmap, and binned the contigs using MetaBAT (v2.12.1) [59]. We assessed the quality of the bins using CheckM (v1.1.3)[60] and automatically accepted medium quality bins with a completion score greater than 50% and less than 10% [61]. Since the purpose of metagenomics in our study was to generate a comprehensive protein sequence database and to assign proteins to species, we further accepted bins that were greater than >30% complete and <5% contaminated. We clustered the bins into species groups by 95% ANI using dRep (v2.6.2) [22,62] and assigned taxonomy using GTDB-Tk (v1.3.0, ref r95) [63].

 We assembled the protein database by combining gene annotations from the metagenome with mouse and dietary protein databases [19]. For the metagenome, we annotated the assemblies prior to binning and then for each bin individually using PROKKA

 (Version 1.14.6) [64]. If the contig was binned, we compiled the annotations from the bins. We then used CD-HIT-2D (Version 4.7), with a 90% identity cutoff, to compare the genes from the unbinned PROKKA output to the binned gene annotation [65]. If a gene was not present in a bin we added it to the database as an unbinned sequence. Once we compiled the microbial protein database we assigned each protein sequence a species code if it was species specific or an ambiguous, low-quality, or unbinned code if it was assigned to more than one species group, belonged to a low-quality bin, or was not present in a bin, respectively. In addition to the microbial sequences, we added a *Mus musculus* proteome (UP000000589, Downloaded 19Feb20), and the relevant dietary protein database for each sample: *Glycine max* (UP000008827, Downloaded 19Feb20), *Bos taurus* (UP000009136, Downloaded 19Feb20), *Cyberlindnera jadinii* (UP000094389, Downloaded 25May20), *Oryza sativa* (UP000059680, Downloaded 25May20) and *Gallus gallus* (UP000000539, Downloaded 25May20). Due to the lack of a reference proteome for the yellow pea diet, we created a custom pea reference with all available UniProtKB protein sequences for *Pisum sativum* (Taxon ID: 388 Downloaded 25Apr20) and the reference proteome of *Cajanus cajan* (UP000075243, Downloaded 25May20). For T0 samples taken when mice were fed a standard chow diet, we added proteomes from the protein sources likely to be in the diet based on the ingredient list (corn UP000007305, fish UP000000437, soy UP000008827, wheat UP000019116, Downloaded 19Feb20). We clustered the mouse and diet reference proteomes individually at a 95% identity threshold. We only searched samples against their respective dietary database. In order to identify all sequences from the species *Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron (B. theta)* and *Lactococcus lactis* we downloaded all the sequences matching these species from

 that matched with 95% identity or greater. The species code for these sequences was changed to BT or LAC if they were found to be *B. theta* or *L. lactis* respectively.

UniProt [66]. We then used diamond BLASTp to identify all sequences in the protein database

Metaproteomic sample processing

 We extracted protein using a modified FASP protocol [67]. We pelleted fecal samples by centrifugation (21,000 x g, 5 min) and removed the preservation solution. We suspended dietary and fecal pellets in SDT lysis buffer [4% (w/v) SDS, 100 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.6, 0.1 M DTT] in Lysing Matrix E tubes (MP Biomedicals) and bead beat the samples (5 cycles of 45 s at 6.45 m/s, 1 min between cycles). After bead beating we heated the lysates to 95°C for 10 minutes. We mixed 60 µL of the resulting lysates with 400 µL of UA solution (8 M urea in 0.1 M Tris/HCl pH 8.5), loaded the sample onto a 10 kDa 500 µL filter unit (VWR International) and centrifuged at 14,000 x g for 30 minutes. We repeated this step up to three times to reach filter capacity. After loading, we added another 200 µL of UA buffer and centrifuged at 14,000 x g for another 40 minutes. We added 100 µL of IAA solution (0.05 M iodoacetamide in UA solution) to the filter and incubated at 22°C for 20 minutes. We removed IAA by centrifuging the filter at 14,000 x g for 20 minutes. We then washed the filter 3 times by adding 100 uL of UA buffer and centrifuging at 14,000 x g for 20 minutes. We then washed 3 more times by adding 100 uL of ABC buffer (50 mM Ammonium Bicarbonate) and centrifuging at 14,000 x g for 20 minutes. To digest the isolated protein, we added 0.95 µg of MS grade trypsin (Thermo Scientific Pierce, Rockford, IL, USA) mixed in 40 µL of ABC to each filter and incubated at 37°C for 16 hours. We then eluted the peptides by centrifugation at 14,000 x g for 20 minutes. We eluted again with 50 uL of 0.5 M NaCL and centrifuged at 14,000 x g for another 20 minutes. We quantified the abundance of the peptides using the Pierce Micro BCA assay (Thermo Scientific Pierce, Rockford, IL, USA) following the manufacturer's instructions. We analyzed the samples by 1D-LC-MS/MS. Samples were run in randomized block

 design. For each run, we loaded 600 ng of peptides onto a 5 mm, 300 µm ID C18 Acclaim® 611 PepMap100 pre-column (Thermo Fisher Scientific) using an UltiMate™ 3000 RSLCnano Liquid Chromatograph (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and desalted on the pre-column. After desalting, the pre-column was switched in line with a 75 cm x 75 µm analytical EASY-Spray column packed

614 with PepMap RSLC C18, 2 µm material (Thermo Fisher Scientific), which was heated to 60 °C. The analytical column was connected via an Easy-Spray source to a Q Exactive HF Hybrid Quadrupole-Orbitrap mass spectrometer. Peptides were separated using a 140 minute reverse phase gradient [54]. We acquired spectra using the following parameters: m/z 445.12003 lock mass, normalized collision energy equal to 24, 25 s dynamic exclusion, and exclusion of ions of +1 charge state. Full MS scans were acquired for 380 to 1600 m/z at a resolution of 60,000 and 620 a max IT time of 200 ms. Data-dependent $MS²$ spectra for the 15 most abundant ions were acquired at a resolution of 15,000 and max IT time of 100 ms.

Metaproteomic data processing

 We searched raw MS spectra against the diet specific protein databases using the run calibration, SEQUEST HT and percolator nodes in Proteome Discoverer 2.3 (Thermo Fisher Scientific). We used the following setting for search: trypsin (full), 2 missed cleavages, 10 ppm precursor mass tolerance, 0.1 Da fragment mass tolerance. We included the following dynamic modifications: oxidation on M (+15.995 Da), deamidation on N,Q,R (0.984 Da) and acetyl on the protein N terminus (+42.011 Da). We also included the static modification carbamidomethyl on C (+57.021 Da). We filtered identified peptides and proteins at a false discovery rate (FDR) of 5%. Additionally, we only included proteins that had at least one protein unique peptide identified. Proteins were quantified by peptide spectral match (PSM) count (spectral counting).

Statistical analysis and visualization

 Whenever possible in this study we tested significance of changes in abundance by applying an ANOVA on a linear mixed effects model with the interacting fixed effects being mouse group and diet, and the random effect being the individual mouse (lme4 version 4.3.1) [68]. For multiple comparisons we calculated 95% confidence intervals for each diet using the emmeans R package (version 1.8.8) [69]. The exceptions were PERMANOVA analysis for

 testing significance of microbiota compositional changes (Extended Data Table 5) and Welch's t-tests to compare differences between yeast and egg white protein diets (Extended Data Table 17, 18 and 20). For each analysis, we controlled for multiple-hypothesis testing by converting p- values to FDR-level based q-values, unless all p-values in the analysis were below 0.05 [70,71]. By definition, if all the p-values are less than 0.05 than the FDR is less than 0.05. Visualizations were produced using ggplot2 (version 3.4.3) [72], pheatmap (version 1.0.12) [73], RawGraphs [74], Microsoft Excel and Adobe Illustrator. All boxes and error bars represent 95% confidence intervals. Boxes or error bars that do not overlap denote significance. If no error bars are present then significance is denoted by letters or asterisk. In the case of beta-diversity analysis the error bars are 95% confidence intervals (Fig. 1d), but significance was tested separately by PERMANOVA.

Compositional profiling of the microbiota

 We calculated the abundances of specific taxa in the microbiota using proteinaceous biomass [16]. Briefly, we filtered for proteins with at least 2 protein unique peptides and summed their spectra into their assigned taxonomy: microbial species, mouse, diet, ambiguous, low quality bins, unbinned bacteria (See *Metagenomic assembly and protein database construction* section for details on assignment). We calculated the microbe to host ratio by summing the spectral count assigned to microbial species, multiple microbial species, low quality bins and unbinned bacteria proteins and dividing them by the number of spectral counts assigned to mouse proteins. We considered a microbial species quantifiable if we could identify at least one protein with 2 protein unique peptides unambiguously assigned to the species. We calculated per sample species richness by simply counting the number of quantifiable species per sample. We calculated alpha (Shannon Diversity Index) and beta diversity (Bray-Curtis) metrics using the vegan (version 2.6-4) package in R (version 4.3.1)[75,76] on a table of the quantifiable microbial species (statistics as described above). We also evaluated the composition of the

 microbiota using principal component analysis and hierarchical clustering. For principal component analysis we normalized the quantified species using centered-log ratio transformation and calculated principal components using the prcomp function in base R on all the mice and separately on each mouse group. Principal components were rendered using the ggplot2 (version 3.4.3) package in R [72]. For hierarchical clustering, we focused on the species that were most abundant, representing at least 5% of the microbial species biomass in at least one sample. We calculated the percent biomass for all the species and then extracted the species that fit the abundant species criteria. We calculated the individual significance of each abundant species using linear mixed effects models as described above. We hierarchically clustered log transformed values of these species using the R package pheatmap (version 1.0.12), using the ward.D2 algorithm and euclidean distances [73]. We compared broad taxonomic changes at the class level. For all quantifiable species we summed the abundance of the assigned class by GTDB-Tk (see *Metagenomic assembly and protein database construction* for details). We then calculated confidence intervals using the linear mixed effects models and emmeans as described previously. Barcharts were rendered in ggplot2 using the estimated mean and 95% confidence intervals as error bars.

Functional profiling of the microbiota

 For analyses of functional categories at the level of the whole microbiota we calculated the normalized spectral abundance factor (NSAF%) for each protein, which provides the relative abundance for each protein as a percentage of the summed abundance of microbiota proteins [77]. We annotated functions for all microbial proteins in our database using EggNOG-mapper [78], MANTIS [79], and Microbe Annotator [80]. We assigned glycoside hydrolase protein family identifiers from the CAZy database using dbCAN2 [39,81]. We manually curated these annotations by searching a subset of these proteins against the Swiss-Prot [66] and InterPro [82] databases between February 2023 and June 2023 (See results for exact numbers). If the

 Swiss-Prot or InterPro annotations matched the automated tool annotations we extrapolated the assigned protein name to all proteins with the same automated annotation. Alternatively, if the annotations from the automated tools were in agreement, we consolidated the annotation into a consensus annotation. We then assigned broad functional categories, detailed functional categories, and specific names to each validated protein set. To evaluate functional changes due to diet, we summed all microbiota proteins assigned to a broad or detailed functional category, or enzyme name and applied a linear mixed-effects model to each function as described above.

In vivo proteomic analysis of B. theta

 To analyze the *B. theta* proteome, we calculated the orgNSAF by extracting all proteins assigned to the species *B. theta* detected in the metaproteomes, and then calculating NSAF% [18]. We then compared abundances of *B. theta* proteins detected in the yeast and egg protein diets using the Welch's t-test in the Perseus software (version 1.6.14.0) [83]. To visualize polysaccharide utilization loci (PULs), we mapped the reads from one of our metagenomic samples to all the contigs that were assigned *B. theta* proteins using BBSplit (BBMap, Version 38.06). We then assembled all the mapped reads using metaSPAdes. The genes in this newly assembled genome overlapped exactly with the previous set of identified *B. theta* genes, and this *B. theta* genome was uploaded to the RAST server for further analysis [84]. PULs were detected in the metaproteome by identifying proteins labeled SusC, SusD, or TonB. The rest of the PUL was identified by visualizing the gene neighborhood in RAST. The identified genes were then cross referenced against PULDB to assign literature described PUL numbers [85].

In vitro growth and proteomics of B. theta

 We cultured *B. theta* VPI-5482 in two biological replicates and at least 4 technical replicates using a defined *Bacteroides* medium similar to that described in [86]. *B. theta* cultures

718 were grown statically at 37°C in a Coy anaerobic chamber (2.5 % H₂ /10 % CO₂ /88.5 % N₂) in 719 minimal medium (100 mM KH₂PO₄, 8.5 mM [NH₂]₄SO₄, 15 mM NaCl, 5.8 µM vitamin K₃, 1.44 720 μM FeSO₄⋅ 7H₂O, 1 mM MgCl₂, 1.9 μM hematin, 0.2 mM L-histidine, 3.69 nM vitamin B₁₂, 208 µM L-cysteine, and 7.2 µM CaCl₂⋅ $2H_2O$). The four dietary protein sources: soy protein (CA.160480), yeast protein (CA.40115), casein protein (CA.160030), and egg white protein (CA.160230), were purchased from Envigo and were the same as the protein sources used in the corresponding diets. Porcine muc2 mucin (Sigma CA. M2378) was also tested alongside controls of glucose and no carbon source. To aid in suspension in aqueous media, we pre-726 prepared the proteins in 200 mM NaOH water at 37°C for four days; the glucose control was also dissolved in 200 mM NaOH water. We then added the protein or glucose solution to the pre-prepared media at 0.5% (wt/v). Cultures were grown overnight in minimal media supplemented with 0.5% (wt/v) glucose before being washed and inoculated into experimental conditions at 0.01 OD and incubated at 37°C anaerobically with shaking every hour. Colony forming units (CFUs) per mL of culture were enumerated by drip plating at 0 and 24 hr post inoculation. Solid media for *B. theta* was Brain-Heart Infusion agar (Difco CA. 241830) supplemented with 10% Horse Blood (LAMPIRE CA. 7233401) (BHI-HB).

 To obtain samples for proteomics, we repeated the experiment for the glucose, yeast, egg white, mucin and soy media. After 8 hours, CFUs were enumerated to confirm growth. We pelleted cells by centrifuging at 4,000 g for 10 minutes. We then extracted the supernatant and froze the pellets at -80°C. Protein was extracted by the same FASP protocol described above but with two differences. We lysed pellets by adding 120 uL of SDT buffer and then heating at 95°C. We used PES 10kDa filters (MilliporeSigma). We also used a similar Mass Spectrometry procedure, except the samples were run on an Exploris 480 mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and 1 ug of peptide were analyzed for each sample. We searched raw MS spectra using the same Proteome Discoverer 2.3 workflow using the *B. theta* proteome downloaded from UniProt (UP000001414 downloaded January 9, 2024) as the protein sequence database.

 We then cross referenced PULs between the metaproteome and the *in vitro* proteome to compare them.

DECLARATIONS

Ethics approval and consent to participate

- We conducted our animal experiments in the Laboratory Animal Facilities at the NCSU CVM
- campus (Association for the Assessment and Accreditation of Laboratory Animal Care
- accredited), which are managed by the NCSU Laboratory Animal Resources. Animals assessed
- as moribund were humanely euthanized via CO2 asphyxiation, as approved by NC State's
- Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (Protocol # 18-034-B).
-

Data availability

- The mass spectrometry proteomics data have been deposited to the ProteomeXchange
- Consortium via the PRIDE [87] partner repository with the dataset identifier PXD041586
- [Reviewer Access at<https://www.ebi.ac.uk/pride/login> with Reviewer Username:
- reviewer_pxd041586@ebi.ac.uk Password: V9Jz2n4h] (metaproteomic data) and PXD050296
- [Reviewer Username: reviewer_pxd050296@ebi.ac.uk Password:F8I9Cmcz] (*B. theta* in vitro
- proteomics data). Metagenomic raw reads were submitted to NCBI SRA under the bioproject
- identifier PRJNA1026909. All metagenome assembled genomes (MAGs) with accompanying
- metadata were submitted to DRYAD DOI: 10.5061/dryad.x0k6djhq5. [Reviewer link:
- https://datadryad.org/stash/share/QagcDe_b_b0GbbyQ7mPOxBapFL3QbaXt3-fhiZRvDCM]

Competing interests

The authors declare that there are no competing interests.

-
- **Funding**

(MK), T32DK007737 (JABR) and P30 DK034987, and by the USDA National Institute of Food

and Agriculture, Hatch project 7002782. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors

- and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health.
-

Acknowledgments

- We thank Brandon C. Iker for inspiring this research and initial concepts, Tjorven Hinzke for
- advice on statistics, Heather Maughan for editing of the manuscript, Erin Baker for advice on
- methods, and Lawrence David and Balfour Sartor for advice and consultation on the project.
- All LC-MS/MS measurements were made in the Molecular Education, Technology, and
- Research Innovation Center (METRIC) at North Carolina State University.

Author Contributions

- JABR: Experimental design, data collection, data processing, data analysis, author of original
- manuscript, editing
- AB: Conceptualization of the study, experimental design, data collection, data processing,
- editing
- ASM: Experimental design, data collection, editing
- AA: Data processing, analysis, editing
- MVW: Data processing, analysis, editing
- AKM: Data processing
- JM: Coding, graphic design
- SV: Data collection
- TR: Data collection
- CMT: Experimental design, data collection, editing
- MK: Funding, conceptualization of the study, experimental design, data collection, data
- processing, data analysis, writing, editing

References

-
- 1. Budhathoki S, Sawada N, Iwasaki M, Yamaji T, Goto A, Kotemori A, et al. Association of Animal and Plant Protein Intake With All-Cause and Cause-Specific Mortality in a Japanese Cohort. JAMA Intern Med. 2019;179:1509–18.
- 2. Song M, Fung TT, Hu FB, Willett WC, Longo VD, Chan AT, et al. Association of Animal and Plant Protein Intake With All-Cause and Cause-Specific Mortality. JAMA Intern Med. 2016;176:1453–63.
- 3. Huang J, Liao LM, Weinstein SJ, Sinha R, Graubard BI, Albanes D. Association Between Plant and Animal Protein Intake and Overall and Cause-Specific Mortality. JAMA Intern Med. 2020;180:1173–84.
- 4. Ahn E, Jeong H, Kim E. Differential effects of various dietary proteins on dextran sulfate sodium-induced colitis in mice. Nutr Res Pr. 2022;16:700–15.
- 5. Bartlett A, Kleiner M. Dietary protein and the intestinal microbiota: An understudied relationship. iScience. 2022;25:105313.
- 6. Oliphant K, Allen-Vercoe E. Macronutrient metabolism by the human gut microbiome: major fermentation by-products and their impact on host health. Microbiome. 2019;7:91.
- 815 7. Blakeley-Ruiz JA, McClintock CS, Shrestha HK, Poudel S, Yang ZK, Giannone RJ, et al. Morphine and high-fat diet differentially alter the gut microbiota composition and metabolic
- function in lean versus obese mice. ISME Commun. 2022;2:66.
- 8. David LA, Maurice CF, Carmody RN, Gootenberg DB, Button JE, Wolfe BE, et al. Diet rapidly and reproducibly alters the human gut microbiome. Nature. 2013/12/11 ed. 2014;505:559–63.
- 9. Patnode ML, Beller ZW, Han ND, Cheng J, Peters SL, Terrapon N, et al. Interspecies 821 Competition Impacts Targeted Manipulation of Human Gut Bacteria by Fiber-Derived Glycans. Cell. 2019;179:59-73.e13.
- 823 10. Perler BK, Friedman ES, Wu GD. The Role of the Gut Microbiota in the Relationship Between Diet and Human Health. Annu Rev Physiol. 2023;85:449–68.
- 825 11. Yao CK, Muir JG, Gibson PR. Review article: insights into colonic protein fermentation, its modulation and potential health implications. Aliment Pharmacol Ther. 2016;43:181–96.
- 12. Sun M, Wu W, Chen L, Yang W, Huang X, Ma C, et al. Microbiota-derived short-chain fatty acids promote Th1 cell IL-10 production to maintain intestinal homeostasis. Nat Commun. 2018;9:3555.
- 830 13. Faith JJ, McNulty NP, Rey FE, Gordon JI. Predicting a Human Gut Microbiota's Response to Diet in Gnotobiotic Mice. Science. 2011;333:101–4.
- 832 14. Zhu Y, Shi X, Lin X, Ye K, Xu X, Li C, et al. Beef, Chicken, and Soy Proteins in Diets Induce Different Gut Microbiota and Metabolites in Rats. Front Microbiol. 2017;8:1395.
- 15. Wilmes P, Bond PL. The application of two-dimensional polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and downstream analyses to a mixed community of prokaryotic microorganisms. Environ Microbiol. 2004;6:911–20.
- 837 16. Kleiner M, Thorson E, Sharp CE, Dong X, Liu D, Li C, et al. Assessing species biomass contributions in microbial communities via metaproteomics. Nat Commun. 2017;8:1558.
- 839 17. Kleiner M, Wentrup C, Lott C, Teeling H, Wetzel S, Young J, et al. Metaproteomics of a gutless marine worm and its symbiotic microbial community reveal unusual pathways for carbon 841 and energy use. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2012;109:E1173.
- 18. Mueller RS, Denef VJ, Kalnejais LH, Suttle KB, Thomas BC, Wilmes P, et al. Ecological distribution and population physiology defined by proteomics in a natural microbial community. Mol Syst Biol. 2010;6:374.
- 19. Blakeley-Ruiz JA, Kleiner M. Considerations for constructing a protein sequence database 846 for metaproteomics. Comput Struct Biotechnol J. 2022;20:937-52.
- 20. Brooks B, Mueller R, Young J, Morowitz M, Hettich R, Banfield J. Strain-resolved microbial community proteomics reveals simultaneous aerobic and anaerobic function during gastrointestinal tract colonization of a preterm infant. Front Microbiol. 2015;6:654.
- 21. Xiong W, Brown CT, Morowitz MJ, Banfield JF, Hettich RL. Genome-resolved metaproteomic characterization of preterm infant gut microbiota development reveals species-specific metabolic shifts and variabilities during early life. Microbiome. 2017;5:72.
- 22. Olm MR, Brown CT, Brooks B, Banfield JF. dRep: a tool for fast and accurate genomic comparisons that enables improved genome recovery from metagenomes through de-replication. ISME J. 2017/07/25 ed. 2017;11:2864–8.
- 23. Parks DH, Rinke C, Chuvochina M, Chaumeil P-A, Woodcroft BJ, Evans PN, et al. Recovery of nearly 8,000 metagenome-assembled genomes substantially expands the tree of life. Nat Microbiol. 2017;2:1533–42.
- 24. Salvato F, Hettich RL, Kleiner M. Five key aspects of metaproteomics as a tool to understand functional interactions in host-associated microbiomes. PLOS Pathog. 2021;17:e1009245.
- 25. Derrien M, Vaughan EE, Plugge CM, de Vos WM. Akkermansia muciniphila gen. nov., sp. nov., a human intestinal mucin-degrading bacterium. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. Microbiology Society; 2004. p. 1469–76.
- 26. Lagkouvardos I, Lesker TR, Hitch TCA, Gálvez EJC, Smit N, Neuhaus K, et al. Sequence and cultivation study of Muribaculaceae reveals novel species, host preference, and functional potential of this yet undescribed family. Microbiome. 2019;7:28.
- 27. Sonnenburg JL, Xu J, Leip DD, Chen C-H, Westover BP, Weatherford J, et al. Glycan Foraging in Vivo by an Intestine-Adapted Bacterial Symbiont. Science. 2005;307:1955–9.
- 28. Kleiner M. Metaproteomics: Much More than Measuring Gene Expression in Microbial Communities. mSystems. 2019;4:e00115-19.
- 29. O'Keefe SJD. Diet, microorganisms and their metabolites, and colon cancer. Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2016;13:691–706.
- 30. Cagnon L, Braissant O. Hyperammonemia-induced toxicity for the developing central nervous system. Brain Res Rev. 2007;56:183–97.
- 31. Rangroo Thrane V, Thrane AS, Wang F, Cotrina ML, Smith NA, Chen M, et al. Ammonia triggers neuronal disinhibition and seizures by impairing astrocyte potassium buffering. Nat Med. 2013;19:1643–8.
- 32. Stummer N, Feichtinger RG, Weghuber D, Kofler B, Schneider AM. Role of Hydrogen Sulfide in Inflammatory Bowel Disease. Antioxidants. 2023;12.
- 33. Jaglin M, Rhimi M, Philippe C, Pons N, Bruneau A, Goustard B, et al. Indole, a Signaling
- Molecule Produced by the Gut Microbiota, Negatively Impacts Emotional Behaviors in Rats.
- Front Neurosci [Internet]. 2018;12. Available from:
- https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fnins.2018.00216
- 34. Luscher B, Shen Q, Sahir N. The GABAergic deficit hypothesis of major depressive disorder. Mol Psychiatry. 2011;16:383–406.
- 35. Czumaj A, Śledziński T, Mika A. Branched-Chain Fatty Acids Alter the Expression of Genes Responsible for Lipid Synthesis and Inflammation in Human Adipose Cells. Nutrients. 2022;14.
- 36. Pereira GV, Boudaud M, Wolter M, Alexander C, De Sciscio A, Grant ET, et al. Opposing
- diet, microbiome, and metabolite mechanisms regulate inflammatory bowel disease in a
- genetically susceptible host. Cell Host Microbe [Internet]. 2024; Available from:
- https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S193131282400060X
- 37. Mayneris-Perxachs J, Castells-Nobau A, Arnoriaga-Rodríguez M, Martin M, de la Vega- Correa L, Zapata C, et al. Microbiota alterations in proline metabolism impact depression. Cell Metab. 2022;34:681-701.e10.
- 38. Fletcher JR, Pike CM, Parsons RJ, Rivera AJ, Foley MH, McLaren MR, et al. Clostridioides difficile exploits toxin-mediated inflammation to alter the host nutritional landscape and exclude competitors from the gut microbiota. Nat Commun. 2021;12:462.
- 39. Lombard V, Golaconda Ramulu H, Drula E, Coutinho PM, Henrissat B. The carbohydrate-active enzymes database (CAZy) in 2013. Nucleic Acids Res. 2014;42:D490–5.
- 40. Grondin JM, Tamura K, Déjean G, Wade DW, Brumer H. Polysaccharide Utilization Loci: Fueling Microbial Communities. J Bacteriol. 2017;199:10.1128/jb.00860-16.
- 41. Cuskin F, Lowe EC, Temple MJ, Zhu Y, Cameron E, Pudlo NA, et al. Human gut Bacteroidetes can utilize yeast mannan through a selfish mechanism. Nature. 2015;517:165–9.
- 42. Temple MJ, Cuskin F, Baslé A, Hickey N, Speciale G, Williams SJ, et al. A Bacteroidetes locus dedicated to fungal 1,6-β-glucan degradation: Unique substrate conformation drives specificity of the key endo-1,6-β-glucanase. J Biol Chem. 2017;292:10639–50.
- 43. Martens EC, Chiang HC, Gordon JI. Mucosal glycan foraging enhances fitness and transmission of a saccharolytic human gut bacterial symbiont. Cell Host Microbe. 2008;4:447– 57.
- 44. Badri DV, Jackson MI, Jewell DE. Dietary Protein and Carbohydrate Levels Affect the Gut Microbiota and Clinical Assessment in Healthy Adult Cats. J Nutr. 2021;151:3637–50.
- 45. Cummings J, Hill M, Bone E, Branch W, Jenkins DJA. The effect of meat protein and dietary fiber on colonic function and metabolism II. Bacterial metabolites in feces and urine1. Am J Clin Nutr. 1979;32:2094–101.
- 46. Pinna C, Vecchiato CG, Bolduan C, Grandi M, Stefanelli C, Windisch W, et al. Influence of dietary protein and fructooligosaccharides on fecal fermentative end-products, fecal bacterial 918 populations and apparent total tract digestibility in dogs. BMC Vet Res. 2018;14:106.
- 47. Rose CF. Ammonia-Lowering Strategies for the Treatment of Hepatic Encephalopathy. Clin Pharmacol Ther. 2012;92:321–31.
- 48. Shen T-CD, Albenberg L, Bittinger K, Chehoud C, Chen Y-Y, Judge CA, et al. Engineering the gut microbiota to treat hyperammonemia. J Clin Invest. 2015;125:2841–50.
- 49. Hwang HS, Kim BS, Park H, Park H-Y, Choi H-D, Kim HH. Type and branched pattern of N- glycans and their structural effect on the chicken egg allergen ovotransferrin: a comparison with ovomucoid. Glycoconj J. 2014;31:41–50.
- 50. Kollár R, Reinhold BB, Petráková E, Yeh HJC, Ashwell G, Drgonová J, et al. Architecture of 927 the Yeast Cell Wall: $\beta(1\rightarrow6)$ -GLUCAN INTERCONNECTS MANNOPROTEIN, $\beta(1\rightarrow3)$ -GLUCAN, AND CHITIN*. J Biol Chem. 1997;272:17762–75.
- 51. Orlean P. Architecture and Biosynthesis of the Saccharomyces cerevisiae Cell Wall. Genetics. 2012;192:775–818.
- 52. Thaysen-Andersen M, Mysling S, Højrup P. Site-Specific Glycoprofiling of N-Linked Glycopeptides Using MALDI-TOF MS: Strong Correlation between Signal Strength and Glycoform Quantities. Anal Chem. 2009;81:3933–43.
- 53. Desai MS, Seekatz AM, Koropatkin NM, Kamada N, Hickey CA, Wolter M, et al. A Dietary Fiber-Deprived Gut Microbiota Degrades the Colonic Mucus Barrier and Enhances Pathogen Susceptibility. Cell. 2016;167:1339-1353.e21.
- 54. Mordant A, Kleiner M. Evaluation of Sample Preservation and Storage Methods for Metaproteomics Analysis of Intestinal Microbiomes. Microbiol Spectr. 2021;9:e0187721.
- 55. Knudsen BE, Bergmark L, Munk P, Lukjancenko O, Priemé A, Aarestrup FM, et al. Impact of
- Sample Type and DNA Isolation Procedure on Genomic Inference of Microbiome Composition. mSystems. 2016;1:10.1128/msystems.00095-16.
- 56. Bushnell B. BBMap: a fast, accurate, splice-aware aligner. Lawrence Berkeley National Lab.(LBNL), Berkeley, CA (United States); 2014.
- 57. Nurk S, Meleshko D, Korobeynikov A, Pevzner PA. metaSPAdes: a new versatile metagenomic assembler. Genome Res. 2017;27:824–34.
- 58. Li D, Liu C-M, Luo R, Sadakane K, Lam T-W. MEGAHIT: an ultra-fast single-node solution for large and complex metagenomics assembly via succinct de Bruijn graph. Bioinformatics. 2015;31:1674–6.
- 59. Kang DD, Li F, Kirton E, Thomas A, Egan R, An H, et al. MetaBAT 2: an adaptive binning algorithm for robust and efficient genome reconstruction from metagenome assemblies. PeerJ. 2019;7:e7359–e7359.
- 60. Parks DH, Imelfort M, Skennerton CT, Hugenholtz P, Tyson GW. CheckM: assessing the quality of microbial genomes recovered from isolates, single cells, and metagenomes. Genome Res. 2015;25:1043–55.
- 61. Bowers RM, Kyrpides NC, Stepanauskas R, Harmon-Smith M, Doud D, Reddy TBK, et al. Minimum information about a single amplified genome (MISAG) and a metagenome-assembled genome (MIMAG) of bacteria and archaea. Nat Biotechnol. 2017;35:725–31.
- 62. Olm MR, Crits-Christoph A, Diamond S, Lavy A, Matheus Carnevali PB, Banfield JF. Consistent Metagenome-Derived Metrics Verify and Delineate Bacterial Species Boundaries. mSystems. 2020;5.
- 63. Chaumeil P-A, Mussig AJ, Hugenholtz P, Parks DH. GTDB-Tk: a toolkit to classify genomes with the Genome Taxonomy Database. Bioinformatics. 2020;36:1925–7.
- 64. Seemann T. Prokka: rapid prokaryotic genome annotation. Bioinforma Oxf Engl. 2014;30:2068–9.
- 65. Li W, Godzik A. Cd-hit: a fast program for clustering and comparing large sets of protein or nucleotide sequences. Bioinformatics. 2006;22:1658–9.
- 66. The UniProt Consortium. UniProt: the Universal Protein Knowledgebase in 2023. Nucleic Acids Res. 2023;51:D523–31.
- 67. Wiśniewski JR, Zougman A, Nagaraj N, Mann M. Universal sample preparation method for proteome analysis. Nat Methods. 2009;6:359–62.
- 68. Bates D, Mächler M, Bolker B, Walker S. Fitting Linear Mixed-Effects Models Using lme4. J Stat Softw. 2015;67:1–48.
- 69. Lenth RV. emmeans: Estimated Marginal Means, aka Least-Squares Means [Internet]. 2023. Available from: https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=emmeans
- 70. Benjamini Y, Hochberg Y. Controlling the False Discovery Rate: A Practical and Powerful Approach to Multiple Testing. J R Stat Soc Ser B Methodol. 1995;57:289–300.
- 977 71. Storey JD, Taylor JE, Siegmund D. Strong Control, Conservative Point Estimation and
- Simultaneous Conservative Consistency of False Discovery Rates: A Unified Approach. J R Stat Soc Ser B Stat Methodol. 2004;66:187–205.
- 72. Wickham H. ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis [Internet]. Springer-Verlag New York; 2016. Available from: https://ggplot2.tidyverse.org
- 73. Kolde R. pheatmap: Pretty Heatmaps [Internet]. 2019. Available from: https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=pheatmap
- 74. Mauri M, Elli T, Caviglia G, Uboldi G, Azzi M. RAWGraphs: A Visualisation Platform to Create Open Outputs. Proc 12th Biannu Conf Ital SIGCHI Chapter [Internet]. New York, NY,
- USA: Association for Computing Machinery; 2017. Available from:
- https://doi.org/10.1145/3125571.3125585
- 75. Oksanen J, Simpson GL, Blanchet FG, Kindt R, Legendre P, Minchin PR, et al. vegan:
- Community Ecology Package [Internet]. 2022. Available from: https://CRAN.R-
- project.org/package=vegan
- 76. R Core Team. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing [Internet]. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing; 2023. Available from: https://www.R-project.org/
- 77. Florens L, Carozza MJ, Swanson SK, Fournier M, Coleman MK, Workman JL, et al.
- Analyzing chromatin remodeling complexes using shotgun proteomics and normalized spectral abundance factors. Methods San Diego Calif. 2006;40:303–11.
- 78. Huerta-Cepas J, Forslund K, Coelho LP, Szklarczyk D, Jensen LJ, von Mering C, et al. Fast Genome-Wide Functional Annotation through Orthology Assignment by eggNOG-Mapper. Mol Biol Evol. 2017;34:2115–22.
- 79. Queirós P, Delogu F, Hickl O, May P, Wilmes P. Mantis: flexible and consensus-driven genome annotation. GigaScience. 2021;10:giab042.
- 80. Ruiz-Perez CA, Conrad RE, Konstantinidis KT. MicrobeAnnotator: a user-friendly, comprehensive functional annotation pipeline for microbial genomes. BMC Bioinformatics. 2021;22:11.
- 81. Zhang H, Yohe T, Huang L, Entwistle S, Wu P, Yang Z, et al. dbCAN2: a meta server for automated carbohydrate-active enzyme annotation. Nucleic Acids Res. 2018;46:W95–101.
- 82. Blum M, Chang H-Y, Chuguransky S, Grego T, Kandasaamy S, Mitchell A, et al. The InterPro protein families and domains database: 20 years on. Nucleic Acids Res. 2021;49:D344–54.
- 83. Tyanova S, Temu T, Sinitcyn P, Carlson A, Hein MY, Geiger T, et al. The Perseus
- computational platform for comprehensive analysis of (prote)omics data. Nat Methods. 2016;13:731–40.
- 84. Aziz RK, Bartels D, Best AA, DeJongh M, Disz T, Edwards RA, et al. The RAST Server: Rapid Annotations using Subsystems Technology. BMC Genomics. 2008;9:75.

Supplementary Results

Section A: Effect of dietary protein on gut microbiota composition

 Hierarchical clustering of the proteinaceous biomass of species revealed distinct starting microbiota compositions for the group 1 and group 2 mice. This led us to surmise that the source of dietary protein altered the gut microbiota regardless of starting microbiota composition. To confirm this, we analyzed the group 1 and group 2 mice separately. We observed that they had similar alpha diversity and richness responses to dietary protein source regardless of mouse group and that the Bray-Curtis dissimilarity patterns were also similar (Fig. 1d and e; Supplementary Fig. 1a, b and c). Principal component analysis showed the same separation as the hierarchical clustering between mouse group 1 and 2 along the first component (Supplementary Fig. 1d). Further analysis along the second and third components showed distinct dietary clusters between 1) soy, 2) T0, 3) yeast and egg white, and 4) casein, brown rice and pea (Supplementary Fig. 1e). Principal component analysis on the separate mouse groups revealed the same cluster groups (Supplementary Fig. 1f and g), suggesting that the source of dietary protein alters the gut microbiota's composition regardless of starting microbiota composition.

 We observed dynamic species abundance responses to different sources of dietary protein; these responses were in some cases consistent across mouse groups, while in other cases they were mouse group specific. For example, *Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron* (*B. theta*) increased in abundance across both mouse groups in the yeast and egg white diets (Fig. 1g; Supplementary Fig. 2), while the abundances of *Schaederella* sp. AB67-1 and Lachnospiraceae bacterium AB103-0 repeatedly increased in the presence of soy (Supplementary Fig. 2). Other changes in species abundance due to diet were mouse group specific. For example, *Lactobacillus johnsonii* increased in abundance in the pea and casein diets in the group 1 mice, while *Faecalibaculum rodentium* showed a similar pattern in the group 2 mice. *Oscillospiraceae* bacterium AB63-2 increased in abundance in the presence of the soy diets in the group 1 mice, while *Oscillospiraceae* bacterium AB54-6 followed a similar pattern in the group 2 mice. All of the abundant species were significantly different in abundance between at least two diets (Supplementary Fig. 2). Supplementary Fig. 2 contains the details for the specific dynamics of the 36 most abundant species we detected. All species had a significantly different abundance between diets (linear mixed effect model ANOVA, q < 0.05).

Section B: Effect of dietary protein on microbiota function

 The two most abundant broad functional categories of detected peptides were gene expression, which includes ribosomes, chaperones, and transcription related enzymes, and monosaccharide metabolism, which includes glycolysis and the metabolism of simple sugars other than glucose (Fig. 2). The microbial investment in gene expression enzymes increased in the yeast diet relative to all other diets (except standard chow) and decreased in the egg white diet relative to all other diets. This was driven by an overall increase in the abundance of ribosomal proteins in the yeast diet (Supplemental Fig. 3b). The abundance of ribosomal

1089 proteins has been suggested to be directly correlated with bacterial growth rates¹ suggesting that overall bacterial growth rate is higher when mice were fed the yeast diet. This is further supported by the overall higher bacterial load in the yeast diet (Fig. 1c). In contrast, we observed gene expression proteins that assist with the synthesis and folding of proteins, e.g., elongation factors and chaperones, to be increased in the soy diets relative to some of the other diets (Supplemental Fig. 3b). Curiously, we also observed an increase in stress proteins, including oxidative stress proteins, in the soy and casein diets relative to brown rice, egg white, and yeast (Supplementary Fig. 3c). Oxidative stress interferes with the proper elongation and folding of proteins, which could explain why chaperones and elongation factors are increased in 1098 the soy diet².

 We observed a significant decrease in the abundance of monosaccharide metabolizing enzymes in the yeast and brown rice diets (Fig. 2). Most abundant in this category were the enzymes belonging to the energy pay-off phase of glycolysis (Supplementary Fig. 3d). However, many of the other functions within monosaccharide metabolism had different abundance patterns. For example, galactose and mannose metabolism enzymes were increased in the yeast diet (Supplementary Fig 3e), while along with galactose metabolism, we also observed a general increase in the abundance of fucose, glucosamine, and sialic acid metabolism in the egg white diet relative to other diets. Glucosamine and sialic acid metabolism were also increased in the casein and pea diets relative to other diets (Supplementary Fig 3e). Fucose, 1108 galactose, sialic acid, and acetylglucosamine are all components of mucin³. In summary, these results suggest that the source of dietary protein impacts sugar metabolism in the gut microbiota.

 Two other broad functional categories that significantly changed in abundance due to dietary protein source were adhesion and motility proteins and fermentation proteins. The microbiota invested significantly less in proteins categorized as adhesion and motility proteins in the yeast and egg diets. Flagellar proteins drove this result, which can be explained by the replacement of species from the class Clostridia with species from the class Bacteroidia 1116 because microbes in the phylum Bacteroidota usually do not have flagella⁴. The microbial investment in fermentation enzymes also decreased in the yeast and egg white diets. We divided fermentation enzymes into three categories, ethanol producing, short-chain fatty acid (SCFA) producing, and lactic acid producing (Supplementary Fig. 3a). This categorization revealed that fermentation enzymes leading to SCFA metabolites were the primary drivers of 1121 the decrease in fermentation-related proteins in the yeast and egg white diets. Production of SCFAs has been previously linked to anti-inflammatory responses, which could suggest that the changes in microbiota composition observed in the yeast and egg white diets may be 1124 detrimental to host health $5,6$.

Section C: Effect of brown rice and soy dietary protein on glycoside hydrolase abundance

Several glycoside hydrolases increased in the presence of the brown rice and soy diets.

- In the soy diet, the expression of glycoside hydrolases was reproducible, increasing in
- abundance each of the three times the mice were fed a soy diet. Most notably β-glucosidases
- and β-xylosidases from the CAZy protein family GH3 were increased in the soy diets, while the

1160 Supplementary Table 1: Composition of nine fully defined diets

1161
1162 1162 **Supplementary Figure 1: Source of dietary protein alters the gut microbiota's composition.** (a) depicts the 1163 average number of quantifiable species per diet; boxes represent the 95% confidence interval based on linear mixed
1164 effects models (Extended Data Table 2). (b) and (c) depict the Bray-Curtis dissimilarity between effects models (Extended Data Table 2). (b) and (c) depict the Bray-Curtis dissimilarity between 20% soy diets (teal) 1165 or 20% casein diets (red) and all other diets for group 1 and group 2, respectively. (d) and (e) first, second, and third 1166 principal components of microbiota composition based on species level metaproteomic proteinaceous biomass. (f)

1167 and (g) first and second components of microbiota composition based on species level metaproteomics
1168 proteinaceous biomass for the group 1 and group 2 mice, respectively.

proteinaceous biomass for the group 1 and group 2 mice, respectively.

 Supplementary Figure 2: Abundances of the most abundant species across diets and mouse groups*.* Line plots depicting the average abundance of bacterial species in the group 1 mice (gray) and group 2 mice (orange) 1176 across all diets. Abundances were determined from metaproteomic data using a biomass assessment method⁷. Error 1177 bars represent the 95% confidence interval of the mean using mixed effects modeling. Species were defined as abundant if they represented at least 5% of the microbial protein mass in one sample.

 Supplementary Figure 3: Abundance of detailed functional categories associated with fermentation, gene expression, stress and cell protection, and monosaccharide metabolism. The mean protein abundance (% of total microbial proteins) per sample of each detailed function based on a complete linear mixed effects model. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals and error bars that do not overlap indicate significant abundance differences. (a) Detailed functions that make up the fermentation broad functional category. (b) Detailed functions that make up the gene expression functional category. (c) Detailed functions that make up the stress and cell protection 1189 functional category. (d) The most abundant detailed functions that make up the monosaccharide metabolism
1190 functional category. (e) Select detailed functions that make up part of the monosaccharide metabolism categ functional category. (e) Select detailed functions that make up part of the monosaccharide metabolism category (Extended Data Tables 6, 7 and 8).

-
-
-

1197 Supplementary Figure 4: Effect of dietary protein source on lower abundance protein functions. The mean 1199 protein abundance (% of total microbial proteins) per sample of each detailed function based on a complete linear
1200 mixed effects model. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals and error bars that do not over 1200 mixed effects model. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals and error bars that do not overlap indicate
1201 significant abundance differences. (a) Broad functional categories that represented less than 1% of t 1201 significant abundance differences. (a) Broad functional categories that represented less than 1% of the microbial
1202 protein abundance. (b) Consensus names of specific bile acid modifying enzymes (Extended Data Tabl protein abundance. (b) Consensus names of specific bile acid modifying enzymes (Extended Data Tables 6, 7 and 8). 1203 1204

broad functional categories (Extended Data Table 6) were z-scored by feature and then clustered using the ward.D2 method using the pheatmap package in R.

1212 consensus enzyme names (Extended Data Table 6) were z-scored by feature and then clustered using the ward.D2
1213 method using the pheatmap package in R. method using the pheatmap package in R.

1216 **Supplementary Figure 7: Changes in glutamate and glutamine metabolism due to source of dietary protein:** A reconstruction of the pathways involved in glutamate and glutamine metabolism based on enzymes detected in our 1218 metaproteomes. Box plots represent the aggregate abundance of the specific enzymes involved in the pathway. The
1219 boxes represent the 95% confidence interval of the mean (line) for each diet from a complete mixed e boxes represent the 95% confidence interval of the mean (line) for each diet from a complete mixed effects model, 1220 and the q-values represent the FDR controlled p-values for the diet factor from an ANOVA on these models (q<0.05
1221 indicates significance)(Extended Data Tables 11-12).

indicates significance)(Extended Data Tables 11-12).

Summed Microbial NSAF

 Supplementary Figure 8: Changes in branched-chain amino acid metabolism due to source of dietary protein. A reconstruction of the pathways involved in valine, leucine, and isoleucine metabolism based on enzymes detected in our metaproteomes. With the exception of leucine synthesis enzymes, the same enzymes act on all three 1226 of these amino acids so we did not try to distinguish them. Box plots represent the aggregate abundance of the
1227 specific enzymes involved in the pathway. The boxes represent the 95% confidence interval of the mean specific enzymes involved in the pathway. The boxes represent the 95% confidence interval of the mean (line) for each diet from a complete mixed effects model, and the q-values represent the FDR controlled p-values for the diet factor from an ANOVA on these models (q<0.05 indicates significance)(Extended Data Tables 11-12).

1232

Supplementary Figure 9: Changes in cysteine and alanine metabolism due to source of dietary protein: 1234 A reconstruction of the pathways involved in cysteine and alanine metabolism based on enzymes detected in our 1235 metaproteomes. Box plots represent the aggregate abundance of the specific enzymes involved in the pathway. The 1236 boxes represent the 95% confidence interval of the mean (line) for each diet from a complete mixed effects model,
1237 and the q-values represent the FDR controlled p-values for the diet factor from an ANOVA on these 1237 and the q-values represent the FDR controlled p-values for the diet factor from an ANOVA on these models (q<0.05
1238 indicates significance)(Extended Data Tables 11-12). indicates significance)(Extended Data Tables 11-12).

 Supplementary Figure 10: Changes in asparagine, aspartate, and arginine metabolism due to source of dietary protein part 1:

 A reconstruction of the pathways involved in asparagine, aspartate, and arginine metabolism based on enzymes detected in our metaproteomes. Box plots represent the aggregate abundance of the specific enzymes involved in 1245 the pathway. The boxes represent the 95% confidence interval of the mean (line) for each diet from a complete mixed
1246 effects model, and the q-values represent the FDR controlled p-values for the diet factor from a 1246 effects model, and the q-values represent the FDR controlled p-values for the diet factor from an ANOVA on these
1247 models (q<0.05 indicates significance)(Extended Data Tables 11-12). models (q<0.05 indicates significance)(Extended Data Tables 11-12).

-
-

1250 1251

1252 **Supplementary Figure 11: Changes in asparagine, aspartate, and arginine metabolism due to source of** 1253 **dietary protein part 2:**

1254 A reconstruction of the pathways involved in asparagine, aspartate, and arginine metabolism based on enzymes
1255 detected in our metaproteomes. Box plots represent the aggregate abundance of the specific enzymes invo

detected in our metaproteomes. Box plots represent the aggregate abundance of the specific enzymes involved in 1256 the pathway. The boxes represent the 95% confidence interval of the mean (line) for each diet from a complete mixed

1257 effects model, and the q-values represent the FDR controlled p-values for the diet factor from an ANOVA on these
1258 models (q<0.05 indicates significance)(Extended Data Tables 11-12). models (q<0.05 indicates significance)(Extended Data Tables 11-12).

 Supplementary Figure 12: Changes in threonine, glycine, and serine metabolism due to source of dietary protein part 1:

1263 A reconstruction of the pathways involved in threonine, glycine, and serine metabolism based on enzymes detected
1264 in our metaproteomes. Box plots represent the aggregate abundance of the specific enzymes involved in our metaproteomes. Box plots represent the aggregate abundance of the specific enzymes involved in the pathway. The boxes represent the 95% confidence interval of the mean (line) for each diet from a complete mixed effects model, and the q-values represent the FDR controlled p-values for the diet factor from an ANOVA on these models (q<0.05 indicates significance)(Extended Data Tables 11-12).

1269 **Supplementary Figure 13: Changes in threonine, glycine, and serine metabolism due to source of dietary** protein part 2:

1271 A reconstruction of the pathways involved in threonine, glycine, and serine metabolism based on enzymes detected 1272 in our metaproteomes. Box plots represent the aggregate abundance of the specific enzymes involved in the
1273 pathway. The boxes represent the 95% confidence interval of the mean (line) for each diet from a complete

pathway. The boxes represent the 95% confidence interval of the mean (line) for each diet from a complete mixed 1274 effects model, and the q-values represent the FDR controlled p-values for the diet factor from an ANOVA on these

1275 models (q<0.05 indicates significance)(Extended Data Tables 11-12).

Supplementary Figure 15: Changes in lysine metabolism due to source of dietary protein:

 A reconstruction of the pathways involved in lysine metabolism based on enzymes detected in our metaproteomes. 1287 Box plots represent the aggregate abundance of the specific enzymes involved in the pathway. The boxes represent the 95% confidence interval of the mean (line) for each diet from a complete mixed effects model, and the q-values represent the FDR controlled p-values for the diet factor from an ANOVA on these models (q<0.05 indicates significance)(Extended Data Tables 11-12).

1294 A reconstruction of the pathways involved in methionine metabolism based on enzymes detected in our
1295 metaproteomes. Box plots represent the aggregate abundance of the specific enzymes involved in the p metaproteomes. Box plots represent the aggregate abundance of the specific enzymes involved in the pathway. The 1296 boxes represent the 95% confidence interval of the mean (line) for each diet from a complete mixed effects model,
1297 and the q-values represent the FDR controlled p-values for the diet factor from an ANOVA on these 1297 and the q-values represent the FDR controlled p-values for the diet factor from an ANOVA on these models (q<0.05
1298 indicates significance)(Extended Data Tables 11-12). indicates significance)(Extended Data Tables 11-12).

1299

1300

Supplementary Figure 17: Changes in proline metabolism due to sources of dietary protein:

1304 A reconstruction of the pathways involved in proline metabolism based on enzymes detected in our metaproteomes.
1305 Box plots represent the aggregate abundance of the specific enzymes involved in the pathway. The box 1305 Box plots represent the aggregate abundance of the specific enzymes involved in the pathway. The boxes represent 1306 the 95% confidence interval of the mean (line) for each diet from a complete mixed effects model, a 1306 the 95% confidence interval of the mean (line) for each diet from a complete mixed effects model, and the q-values 1307 represent the FDR controlled p-values for the diet factor from an ANOVA on these models (q<0.05 i represent the FDR controlled p-values for the diet factor from an ANOVA on these models (q<0.05 indicates significance)(Extended Data Tables 11-12).

-
-
-

 Supplementary Figure 18: Changes in aspartate, serine, tryptophan, tyrosine, and phenylalanine metabolism due to source of dietary protein:

1315 A reconstruction of the pathways involved in aspartate, serine, tryptophan, tyrosine, and phenylalanine metabolism
1316 based on enzymes detected in our metaproteomes. Box plots represent the aggregate abundance of th 1316 based on enzymes detected in our metaproteomes. Box plots represent the aggregate abundance of the specific
1317 enzymes involved in the pathway. The boxes represent the 95% confidence interval of the mean (line) for 1317 enzymes involved in the pathway. The boxes represent the 95% confidence interval of the mean (line) for each diet
1318 from a complete mixed effects model, and the q-values represent the FDR controlled p-values for th 1318 from a complete mixed effects model, and the q-values represent the FDR controlled p-values for the diet factor from
1319 an ANOVA on these models (q<0.05 indicates significance) (Extended Data Tables 11-12).

- an ANOVA on these models (q<0.05 indicates significance) (Extended Data Tables 11-12).
-
-
-
-
-

a. Yeast associated PULs PUL 68/92* PUL 68/92*

BT3773 BT3775 BT3778 BT3780 BT3782 BT3784

PUL 71 BT3774 BT3776-3779 BT3781 BT3783 BT3786 BT3788 BT3788 BT3788 BT3788 BT3790 BT3792 \blacksquare SusC \blacksquare SusD \Box GH₂ PUL 71
BT3960 BT3962 BT3964
BT3964 \blacksquare GH3 **BT3960 BT3962 BT3964**
PUL 56
BT3310 BT3312 BT3961
BT3311 BT3313
BT3311 BT3313 \blacksquare GH16 \blacksquare GH18 \blacksquare GH20 **BT3310**
 PUL 69
 BT3311
 BT3312
 BT3314
 PUL 58
 BT3856
 BT3856
 BT3856
 BT3856
 BT3856
 BT3856
 BT3869
 BT3869
 BT3869
 BT3869
 BT3869
 BT3869
 BT3869
 BT3869
 BT3869
 BT3869 \blacksquare GH29 \blacksquare GH30 \blacksquare GH31 \blacksquare GH32 \blacksquare GH38 PUL 58

BT3346

BT3346

PUL 52

BT3345

PUL 52

BT3237

BT3237

BT3237

BT3238

BT3238

BT3238

BT3238

BT3238

BT3238 \blacksquare GH33 \blacksquare GH43 R GH66 \blacksquare GH76 $GH92$ BT3238 BT3236 \blacksquare GH97 \blacksquare GH99 Novel PUL A GH109 $\begin{picture}(100,10) \put(0,0){\line(1,0){10}} \put(15,0){\line(1,0){10}} \put(15,0){\line($ GH125 GH130 PUL 60
 BT3521 BT3523 BT3525

BT3528 BT3523 BT3525

PUL 37 GH144 BT3531 B13531
30 BT3532 Regulator protein PUL 1873520 1973622 1973524 Possible Peptidase Epimerase \blacksquare Sulfatase Unk/Other BT1552 BT1552
BT1551 BT1553 b. Egg associated PULs **PUL 61** BT3567 **BT3569**

PUL 22

BT1763

BT1761

BT1759

PUL 24

BT1767

BT1767

BT1767

BT1767

BT1776

BT17 **BT3569 BT1757** PUL 20

PUL 20

BT0458 BT0458 BT0458 BT0458 BT0458 BT0461

PUL 20

BT1638 BT1634 BT1632

BT1635 BT1634 BT1632

BT1635 BT1624

BT1635 BT1624

PUL 48

BT1635 BT1638 BT3086

BT1629

BT1629

BT1629

BT1629

BT1629

BT1629

BT1 **BT1633 BT1633 BT163**
BT3090
PUL 30
BT2268
BT2268
BT2268 BT2268 PUL 82 BT2269 BT4404 B14404

PUL 78

BT4247

BT4246

BT4246

BT4244

BT4244

BT4244

BT4244

BT4244

BT4242

BT4242 **BT4240** PUL 80

BT4298

BT4298

BT4298

BT1280

BT1280

BT1282

BT1282 BT1280
BT1281 BT1283
PUL 6
PT0147 BT0317 PUL 72

BT3983

PUL 14

BT1044 BT1046

BT1048 BT1048 BT1048 BT1049

BT1042

BT1043 BT1045

BT1047 BT1049

BT1049

 Supplementary Figure 19: Distinct polysaccharide utilization loci (PULs) are expressed by *B. theta* **between the egg white and yeast diet.** Graphical representation of PUL gene neighborhoods detected in the metaproteome.

1330 PUL identifiers are the literature derived PUL identifiers from PULDB⁸, but the PUL structure was verified in RAST

using the *B. theta* genome assembled from our metagenome. Metagenome identifiers were cross referenced to BT

1332 numbers from previous PUL papers. If the BT number is black, it is detected in the metaproteome, if gray it is not
1333 detected in our metaproteome but detected in our genome, and if blue it means that we did not hav

- 1333 detected in our metaproteome but detected in our genome, and if blue it means that we did not have those genes in
1334 our genome but instead detected homologs with the exact same gene neighborhood structure and simil
- 1334 our genome but instead detected homologs with the exact same gene neighborhood structure and similar gene
1335 oercent identity, (a) PUL operons detected in our metaproteome that were increased in the veast diet relat percent identity. (a) PUL operons detected in our metaproteome that were increased in the yeast diet relative to the
	- egg white diet.(c) PUL operons detected in our metaproteome that were increased in the egg white diet relative to the yeast diet.
	-
	-

 Supplementary Figure 20: Significantly different proteins in *in vitro* **proteomes of** *B. theta* **clustered by**

 growth medium. Clustered heatmap of the *in vitro* proteomes of *B. theta* after z-score standardization and removal of non-significant proteins after testing by ANOVA (q<0.05). We generated dendrograms using the ward clustering 1343 algorithm on euclidean distances.

References

- robustly tune their ribosome concentration to approximate growth-rate maximization. *FEBS J.*
- **282**, 2029–2044 (2015).
- 2. Fasnacht, M. & Polacek, N. Oxidative Stress in Bacteria and the Central Dogma of Molecular Biology. *Front. Mol. Biosci.* **8**, (2021).
- 3. Tailford, L. E., Crost, E. H., Kavanaugh, D. & Juge, N. Mucin glycan foraging in the human
- gut microbiome. *Front. Genet.* **6**, (2015).
- 4. Hahnke, R. L. *et al.* Genome-Based Taxonomic Classification of Bacteroidetes. *Front. Microbiol.* **7**, (2016).
- 5. Cavaglieri, C. R. *et al.* Differential effects of short-chain fatty acids on proliferation and
- production of pro- and anti-inflammatory cytokines by cultured lymphocytes. *Life Sci.* **73**, 1683–1690 (2003).
- 6. Tedelind, S., Westberg, F., Kjerrulf, M. & Vidal, A. Anti-inflammatory properties of the short-
- chain fatty acids acetate and propionate: a study with relevance to inflammatory bowel
- disease. *World J. Gastroenterol.* **13**, 2826–2832 (2007).
- 7. Kleiner, M. *et al.* Assessing species biomass contributions in microbial communities via metaproteomics. *Nat. Commun.* **8**, 1558 (2017).
- 8. Terrapon, N. *et al.* PULDB: the expanded database of Polysaccharide Utilization Loci.
- *Nucleic Acids Res.* **46**, D677–D683 (2018).